## 1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA** 8 9 10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 11 Plaintiff, Case No. 2:07-CR-00007-KJD-RJJ 12 <u>ORDER</u> 13 GABE DRAPEL, 14 Defendant. 15 16 Before the Court is Defendant Gabe Drapel's Motion for Nunc Pro Tunc Clarification of 17 Judgment (#181). The United States opposed (#183) to which Defendant replied (#184). 18 I. Background 19 Defendant asserts that "had the Court been aware of Petitioner's long term pre-trial and presentence commitment in the NLVDC [North Las Vegas Detention Center], it would have been the 20 21 Court's intent to give Petitioner additional jail credit." Defendant then petitions the Court for "double 22 jail credit, or an appropriate amount as the Court determines[.]" 23 II. Discussion 24 While the courts have some power to modify a term of imprisonment once it has been 25 imposed, that power is strictly limited by 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c). Defendant does not appear to qualify 26

for sentence modification under any provision of § 3582(c), nor does Defendant allege any such qualification III. Conclusion IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT Defendant's Motion for Nunc Pro Tunc Clarification of Judgment (#181) is **DENIED** as beyond the authority of this Court. DATED this 28th day of May 2013. Kent J. Dawson United States District Judge